OPEN MEETING ITEM



COMMISSIONERS
MARC SPITZER - Chairman
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
JEFF HATCH-MILLER
MIKE GLEASON
KRISTIN K. MAYES



ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2003 DEC 30 P 12 41

DATE:

DECEMBER 30, 2003

AS CORP COMPANIED DOCUMENT CONTRUS

DOCKET NO:

T-04194A-03-0514

TO ALL PARTIES:

Enclosed please find the recommendation of Administrative Law Judge Amanda Pope. The recommendation has been filed in the form of an Order on:

LEC COMMUNICATIONS, INC. (CC&N/RESELLER)

Pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-110(B), you may file exceptions to the recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge by filing an original and ten (10) copies of the exceptions with the Commission's Docket Control at the address listed below by 4:00 p.m. on or before:

JANUARY 8, 2004

The enclosed is <u>NOT</u> an order of the Commission, but a recommendation of the Administrative Law Judge to the Commissioners. Consideration of this matter has <u>tentatively</u> been scheduled for the Commission's Working Session and Open Meeting to be held on:

JANUARY 13, 2004 and JANUARY 14, 2004

For more information, you may contact Docket Control at (602)542-3477 or the Hearing Division at (602)542-4250.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

DEC 3 0 2003

BRIAN C. MCNEIL

EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

DOCKETED BY

1200 WEST WASHINGTON STREET; PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85007-2927 / 400 WEST CONGRESS STREET; TUCSON, ARIZONA 85701-1347

WWW.CC.State.az.us

This document is available in alternative formats by contacting Yvonne McFarlin, ADA Coordinator, voice phone number 602-542-3931, E-mail YMcFarlin@cc.state.az.us

1 BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 2 COMMISSIONERS 3 MARC SPITZER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL JEFF HATCH-MILLER 5 MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF US DOCKET NO. T-04194A-03-0514 LEC COMMUNICATIONS, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE COMPETITIVE DECISION NO. RESOLD INTEREXCHANGE 9 TELECOMMUNICATIONS SERVICES, EXCEPT LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES. **ORDER** 10 Open Meeting 11 January 13 and 14, 2004 Phoenix, Arizona BY THE COMMISSION: 13 Having considered the entire record herein and being fully advised in the premises, the 14 Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") finds, concludes, and orders that: 15 FINDINGS OF FACT 16 1. On July 25, 2003, US LEC Communications, Inc ("Applicant" or "US LEC") filed 17 with the Commission an application for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity ("Certificate") to 18 provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange 19 services, within the State of Arizona. 20 2. Applicant is a switchless reseller that purchases telecommunications services from a 21 variety of carriers for resale to its customers. 22 3. In Decision No. 58926 (December 22, 1994), the Commission found that resold 23 telecommunications providers ("resellers") are public service corporations subject to the jurisdiction 24 of the Commission. 25 4. US LEC has authority to transact business in the State of Arizona. 26 5. On August 26, 2003, US LEC filed an Affidavit of Publication indicating compliance 27

28

with the Commission's notice requirements.

6.

8

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

20

19

21 22

23

24

26

27

28

25

- On December 8, 2003, the Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") filed a Staff Report in this matter, which includes Staff's fair value rate base determination in this matter and recommends approval of the application subject to certain conditions.
- 7. In the Staff Report, Staff stated that US LEC provided financial statements for the twelve month period ending December 31, 2002, which list assets of \$285 million, negative equity of \$153 million, and a net loss of \$45 million.
- 8. In its Staff Report, Staff stated that based on information obtained from the Applicant, it has determined that US LEC's fair value rate base ("FVRB") is zero. Staff has determined that Applicant's FVRB is too small to be useful in a fair value analysis and is not useful in setting rates. Staff further stated that in general, rates for competitive services are not set according to rate of return regulation, but are heavily influenced by the market. Staff recommended that the Commission not set rates for US LEC based on the fair value of its rate base.
- 9. Staff believes that US LEC has no market power and that the reasonableness of its rates will be evaluated in a market with numerous competitors. In light of the competitive market in which the Applicant will be providing its services, Staff believes that the rates in Applicant's proposed tariffs for its competitive services will be just and reasonable, and recommends that the Commission approve them.
 - 10. Staff recommended approval of US LEC's application subject to the following:
 - The Applicant should be ordered to comply with all Commission rules, orders, (a) and other requirements relevant to the provision of intrastate telecommunications service;
 - The Applicant should be ordered to maintain its accounts and records as (b) required by the Commission;
 - The Applicant should be ordered to file with the Commission all financial and other reports that the Commission may require, and in a form and at such times as the Commission may designate;
 - The Applicant should be ordered to maintain on file with the Commission all (d) current tariffs and rates, and any service standards that the Commission may require;

US LEC Communications, Inc.'s application and supporting documentation indicate that this financial information is derived from a consolidated financial statement for US LEC Corp. and subsidiaries.

- (e) The Applicant should be ordered to comply with the Commission's rules and modify its tariffs to conform to these rules if it is determined that there is a conflict between the Applicant's tariffs and the Commission's rules;
- (f) The Applicant should be ordered to cooperate with Commission investigations, including but not limited to, customer complaints;
- (g) The Applicant should be ordered to participate in and contribute to a universal service fund, as required by the Commission;
- (h) The Applicant should be ordered to notify the Commission immediately upon changes to the Applicant's address or telephone number;
- (i) If the Applicant, at some future, date wants to collect from its customers an advance, deposit, and/or prepayment, it must file information with the Commission for Staff review. Upon receipt of such filing and after review, Staff would forward its recommendations to the Commission;
- (j) The Applicant's interexchange service offerings should be classified as competitive pursuant to A.A.C. R14-2-1108;
- (k) The Applicant's maximum rates should be the maximum rates proposed by the Applicant in its proposed tariffs. The minimum rates for the Applicant's competitive services should be the Applicant's total service long run incremental costs of providing those services as set forth in A.A.C. R14-2-1109; and
- (1) In the event that the Applicant states only one rate in its proposed tariff for a competitive service, the rate stated should be the effective (actual) price to be charged for the service as well as the service's maximum rate.
- 11. Staff further recommended that US LEC's Certificate should be conditioned upon the Applicant filing conforming tariffs in accordance with this Decision within 365 days of the effective date of this Decision, or 30 days prior to providing service, whichever comes first.
- 12. Staff recommended that if the Applicant fails to meet the timeframes outlined in Findings of Fact No. 11, that US LEC's Certificate should become null and void without further Order of the Commission, and that no time extensions for compliance should be granted.
 - 13. The rates proposed by this filing are for competitive services.
 - 14. Staff's recommendations as set forth herein are reasonable.
 - 15. US LEC's fair value rate base is zero.

1

2

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

3

1. Applicant is a public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-281 and 40-282.

4 5 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Applicant and the subject matter of the application.

6

3. Notice of the application was given in accordance with the law.

7

4. Applicant's provision of resold interexchange telecommunications services is in the public interest.

8

5. Applicant is a fit and proper entity to receive a Certificate as conditioned herein for providing competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services in Arizona.

10 11

6. Staff's recommendations in Findings of Fact Nos. 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 should be

12

adopted.7. US LEC's fair value rate base is not useful in determining just and reasonable rates for

13 14

the competitive services it proposes to provide to Arizona customers.

8. US LEC's rates, as they appear in its proposed tariffs, are just and reasonable and

16

should be approved.

and 12 above.

15

ORDER

1718

19

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the application of US LEC Communications, Inc. for a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for authority to provide competitive resold interexchange telecommunications services, except local exchange services, is hereby granted, conditioned upon its

2021

compliance with the conditions recommended by Staff as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 10, 11

22

23

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Staff's recommendations set forth in Findings of Fact Nos.

24

8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 above are hereby adopted.

25

. . .

26

27

28

DECISION NO.

1

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that US LEC Communications, Inc. shall comply with the				
2	adopted Staff recommendations as set forth in Findings of Fact Nos. 10 and 11 above.				
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if US LEC Communications, Inc. fails to meet the				
4	timeframes outlined in Findings of Fact No. 11 above, that the Certificate conditionally granted				
5	herein shall become null and void without further Order of the Commission.				
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.				
7	BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION.				
8					
9					
10	CHAIRMAN	COMMISSIONI	ER	COMMISSIONER	3
11					
12					
13	COMMISSIONER	COMMISSION	ER		
14				IAN C. McNEIL, E	
15		Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto set my hand and caused the official seal of the			
16		Commission to this day o	be affixed at the Cafe f, 2004	apitol, in the City of I 4.	Phoenix,
17					
18		BRIAN C. McN			
19		EXECUTIVE SI	ECRETARY		
20	DISSENT		e de la companya de l		
21					
22	DISSENTAP:mj				
23	·				
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

	i e				
1	SERVICE LIST FOR:	US LEC COMMUNICATIONS, INC.			
2	DOCKET NO.:	T-04194A-03-0514			
3					
4	Joan S. Burke Osborn Maledon, P.A.				
5	2929 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2794				
6	6				
7	Christopher Kempley, Chief Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION				
8	1200 West Washington Stree Phoenix, Arizona 85007	et			
9					
10	Ernest G. Johnson, Director Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION	I COMMISSION			
11	1200 West Washington Stree Phoenix, Arizona 85007				
12	Thochix, Alizona 65007				
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					

DECISION NO.